

Unit 4 Project Rubric

Criteria	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Understanding					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • strategies and mathematical processes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • makes an initial start to various sections of the project but is unable to carry through 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • makes initial start to various sections of the project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • makes correct start to all sections of the project, or completes all of the Chapter 8 Task or Chapter 9 Task, or provides answers to all questions without supporting work 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • demonstrates a thorough understanding of the problem by providing a complete response to all parts of the project but there are errors or justification/organization problems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provides a complete and correct response
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • comparisons and connections 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is unable to link concepts together • is able to identify an object but cannot link it to parabolic designs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provides some correct links 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • makes some connections • correctly completes the identification of a design for nanotechnology • correctly indicates an area involving parabolas or a parabola and a line 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • addresses the link between mathematics and nanotechnology 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provides significant comparisons and connections
Connections					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • procedures • reflect level of understanding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reflects a correct start • attempts to determine the model equation for the design but it is not reasonable or complete and prevents further work • provides little algebraic calculation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • may be partially correct • attempts to determine a model equation for the intersection of two parabolas, or a parabola and a line • may include errors that prevent correct identification of points of intersection • may explain the relevance of the points • may make an error in the inequality for region C 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are basic • provides correct model equation but the points of intersection may contain an error, or one of the equations is incorrect but the points of intersection are correct for the incorrect equation • writes the inequalities, one of which may contain an error • has difficulty with cost analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are reasonable • includes an error (such as an incorrect equation) that does not hinder the overall result or the understanding of the problem 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are efficient and effective • demonstrates a comprehensive understanding



Name: _____ Date: _____

BLM U4–2
(continued)

Criteria	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Communicating					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • use of mathematical language • level of support for conclusions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • uses poorly or does not use it (may use explanations only) • is able to explain why parabolas represent the costs of nanotechnology • may make an attempt to explain the point labelled B 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • uses little or none • correctly explains the relevance of point B • determines the boundaries for region C and explains the meaning • attempts to explain how regions A and C are important to the designer and manufacturer but explanation is weak and incomplete 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • uses common language • correctly explains the relevance of point B • correctly explains what regions A and C represent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • uses appropriate language • is missing justification or provides incorrect justification in no more than two parts of the project; or is missing documented evolution of the design 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • use significant language that may be weak for no more than one calculation • provides in-depth support for conclusions
Presenting					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • appropriateness of format • clarity • audience appeal • accuracy of information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • includes an initial start to a format • includes little or no communication • does not consider audience • provides no support for information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • uses format that may not be appropriate or well developed • includes some communication at a basic level • provides weak appeal to audience • provides minimal support for information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • uses reasonable format • includes communication that may lack clarity or contain minor errors • may not consistently consider needs/interests of audience • mostly provides support; information is basic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • uses appropriate format • includes good communication but may sometimes lack organization • communicates directly to audience • may not provide full support; information is accurate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • uses appropriate format with significant authority • provides clear and concise communication • focuses on needs and interests of intended audience • provides full support; information is accurate and in-depth

